

1 Time-varying parameter AR model

We can define a time-varying parameter (TVP) AR(p) model as

$$y_t = c_t + \rho_{1,t}y_{t-1} + \dots + \rho_{p,t}y_{t-p} + \epsilon_t, \epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2), \quad (1)$$

and we can rewrite the above equation in linear regression matrix form

$$y_t = \mathbf{x}_t\beta_t + \epsilon_t, \epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2), \quad (2)$$

The TVP follows a random walk assumption

$$\beta_t = \beta_{t-1} + \eta_t, \eta_t \sim N(0, \Omega), \quad (3)$$

where

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & y_{t-1} & y_{t-2} & \dots & y_{t-p} \end{bmatrix}, \beta_t = \begin{bmatrix} c_t \\ \rho_{1,t} \\ \rho_{2,t} \\ \vdots \\ \rho_{p,t} \end{bmatrix}_{k \times 1},$$

Next, we can stack (2) and (3) over time T

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \epsilon, \epsilon \sim N(0, \Sigma), \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbf{y} = (y_{p+1}, \dots, y_T)'$, $\mathbf{X} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_T)'$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_T)'$ is a $Tk \times 1$ vector, $\Omega = \text{diag}(\omega_1^2, \dots, \omega_k^2)$, and $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma^2, \dots, \sigma^2)$. If we assume the initial condition for $\beta_0 \sim N(0, V_\beta)$, then we can stack (3) over T

$$\mathbf{H}\beta = \eta, \eta \sim N(0, \mathbf{S}), \quad (5)$$

where $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_T)'$, $\mathbf{S} = \text{diag}(V_\beta, \Omega, \dots, \Omega)$, and

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_k & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\mathbf{I}_k & \mathbf{I}_k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{I}_k & \mathbf{I}_k & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -\mathbf{I}_k & \mathbf{I}_k \end{bmatrix},$$

By the change of variables, equation (5) becomes

$$\beta \sim N(0, (\mathbf{H}'\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{H})^{-1}). \quad (6)$$

Finally, to complete the model, we assume priors for

$$\sigma^2 \sim IG(\nu_1, S_1), \quad (7)$$

$$\omega_i^2 \sim IG(\nu_2, S_2). \quad (8)$$

Here IG and N are denoted as the inverse-gamma distribution and the normal distribution respectively.

1.1 Draw β_t

To derive the conditional posterior of β_t , we use (4), (which is the likelihood) and (6),

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta|\mathbf{y}, \sigma^2, \Omega) &\propto p(\mathbf{y}|\beta, \sigma^2, \omega^2)p(\beta), \\ &\propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)'\Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)\right]\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\beta'(\mathbf{H}'\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{H})\beta\right], \\ &\propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\beta'(\mathbf{X}'\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{H}'\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{H})\beta - 2\beta'\mathbf{X}'\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{y})\right], \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the conditional posterior for β_t is

$$(\beta|\mathbf{y}, \sigma^2, \Omega) \sim N(\hat{\beta}, \mathbf{K}_\beta),$$

where

$$\mathbf{K}_\beta = (\mathbf{X}'\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{H}'\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{H})^{-1}, \hat{\beta} = \mathbf{K}_\beta(\mathbf{X}'\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{y}).$$

Since the precision matrix \mathbf{K}_β is a band matrix, one can sample from $(\beta|\mathbf{y}, \sigma^2, \Omega)$ efficiently using the algorithm in Chan and Jeliazkov (2009).

1.2 Draw σ^2 and ω^2

The conditional posteriors of these variances are standard and straightforward to draw

$$(\sigma^2 | \mathbf{y}, \beta, \Omega) \sim IG\left(\nu_1 + \frac{T}{2}, S_1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \mathbf{X}_t \beta_t)^2\right), \quad (9)$$

$$(\omega_i^2 | \mathbf{y}, \beta, \sigma^2) \sim IG\left(\nu_2 + \frac{T-1}{2}, S_2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=2}^T (\rho_{i,t} - \rho_{i,t-1})^2\right), \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k. \quad (10)$$

References

- [1] Chan, J. C., & Jeliazkov, I. (2009). Efficient simulation and integrated likelihood estimation in state space models. *International Journal of Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Optimisation*, 1(1-2), 101-120.